FISCAL NOTE

Bill #	HB0158	Title: R	Revise and clarify income tax w	ithholding	
Prim	ary Sponsor: Mckenney, J	Status: A	As Introduced - Revised		
Spon	sor signature	Date Davi	id Ewer, Budget Director	Date	
Fisca	al Summary	FY 2005 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2006 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2007 <u>Difference</u>	
Ger Stat	enditures: neral Fund te Special Revenue leral Special Revenue ner	<u>Billerence</u>	<u>Difference</u>	Billerence	
Revenue: General Fund State Special Revenue Federal Special Revenue Other		(\$4,750,000)	\$0	\$0	
Net I	mpact on General Fund Balance:	(\$4,750,000)	\$0	\$0	
	Significant Local Gov. Impact Included in the Executive Budget Dedicated Revenue Form Attached		Significant Long-	 ☐ Technical Concerns ☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts ☐ Needs to be included in HB 2 	

Fiscal Analysis

ASSUMPTIONS:

- 1. This bill changes the schedules by which employers are to remit taxes withheld from their employees. Currently, an employer whose total liability for state income tax withholding during the preceding lookback period was less than \$1,200 is required to remit on a "quarterly schedule"; this bill will allow, but not require, these employers to remit on an "annual schedule".
- 2. The bill applies retroactively to wages paid after December 31, 2004.
- 3. Employers who switch from quarterly to annual reporting would delay their withholding payments. This would not change the amount of withholding, but would move half of the payments currently received from quarterly reporters each fiscal year to the next fiscal year. This delay would have a one-time effect on withholding payments in FY 2005 but would not change total withholding payments in following fiscal years.

Fiscal Note Request Error! Reference source not found., Error! Reference source not found. (continued)

- 4. Employer withholding associated with employers who could possibly shift from a quarterly schedule to an annual schedule is about \$2.375 million each quarter. If every employer currently filing on a quarterly basis shifted to annual filing beginning January 1, 2005, then revenues to the state general fund would be reduced by an estimated \$4.75 million in FY 2005. Again, these employers are not *required* to shift to an annual withholding schedule and the department anticipates that many may not. To the extent that some employers do not shift to an annual schedule, the reduction in general fund revenues in FY 2005 will be less than the \$4.75 million impact discussed above.
- 5. There is no change in the total amount of withholding received during the 2007 biennium.
- 6. This bill has no impact on average balances in the Treasury Cash Account; hence, there is no impact on interest income to the state (OBPP).
- 7. There are no administrative cost impacts associated with this bill.

FISCAL IMPACT:

	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
	<u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Revenues: General Fund (01)	(\$4,750,000)	\$0	\$0

EFFECT ON COUNTY OR OTHER LOCAL REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES:

None

LONG-RANGE IMPACTS:

None